Previous references.

Pol 3 Jo3 Sl, 1-4 B.
Govt. Wot No 2610 S/ 28-05 & 1908.
Pol 46 of 95-96 Sl 1 & 2 (Legislative
later references. 03.

Pol 149-40 (1-2)

File No. 37 Jo 2
Serial Nos. 1—10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—10

Part B. for April 08

SUBJECT.

Extention of the provisions of Regulation I of into places other than Hd. Head-Quarters (R. of No 16 of 06)

Table of contents.

1. To the Dy. Commrs. of all Districts No 2311-18 S/ 4.2.08
Requests them to furnish Govt. with information as to the places in their districts in which the regulation is in full force and the places to which it may be extended.

2. From
The D.C. Chitaldrug No 234-18 375 S/ 9.2.08
furnishes the information called for in Sl 1

3. From
The D.C. Shimoga No 831/ J28 S/ 14.3.07

4. From Same as above
G.R. 58 The D.C. Bangalore No 5716 S/ 25.3.

5. From Same as above
The D.C. Kolar No 3539/ 3898 S/ 27/4/07

G. R. S. 11] 1906-07

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Legislative)

NOTES.

File No. 37

FILE HEADING.

Extension of the provisions of Regulation I of 1855 into places other than Head Qr Towns (Hills &c.) the Legl. Assembly Cross of 1907

From

No.	Dated	Received	
Diary No.	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Notes—First sheet.

MGOPB—30,000—17-2-05.

SUBJECT No. 16.

a) Cruelty to animals.
b) Prohibition of the slaughter of cattle.

Messrs. Lakshminarayanappa, Sinnappa, Rangachar, Dodvenkatrama Reddi, B. Kesavaiengar and Kesavadasaiengar.

Summary of discussion.—(*a*) Merciless treatment is now being given by the raiyats to their weak cattle which are used either for agricultural purposes or for drawing carts. The Act prohibiting the cruel treatment of animals may be brought into force.

(*b*) It is also prayed that the slaughtering of cattle may be prohibited.

Reply of Government.—(*a*) Regulation I of 1895 for the prevention of cruelty to animals is in force in district headquarter towns and certain other places. Its further extension elsewhere will be considered.

(*b*) Not possible to enact such a provision.

Draft

1 The information as to the places other than District Headquarter towns in which the Regulation I of 1895 is in force should be available. May the Deputy Commissioners be asked to furnish this information and also to favour full with their opinion as to the introduction of the Act in other places.

2 yes

30/1/07 30/1/07 Papers to draft.

30/1/07

Draft ordered

28 31/1/07 31/1/07

3 Issue

31/1/07

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Leg File No. 37 of 1906-07

Serial No.

1. To the Depty Commrs of Districts Nos J2311-18 G
the 14th Feby 07.

Requests them to furnish Govt with information as to the places in their Districts in which the Regulation is in force and to the places to which it may be extended

2 Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug.
No. 575 & 5/2/07.

States that the Regulation has been in force in the town of Chitaldrug and forwards a list of the municipalities and towns to which it may be extended.

3 From the Deputy Commr, Shimoga No
[illegible] 1906.

States that the Regulation is in force in the town of Shimoga and that it may be extended to the town of Honnali.

4 From the Dy Commr Bangalore No
S-710 & the 25th March 07.

States with the Regulation is in force in the City of Bangalore and that it may be extended to the Civil and Sub Judge's stations in the B. District by a notification to that effect by the Magistrate of the district

GPB—10,000—18-1-07

Serial No.

... Taluk, in this respect

5 From the Deputy Commr, Kolar, No. 3535/385 dt 26/11/07.

States that the provisions of the Regulation are in force in the Dist Headquarters town, and that the extension of all or any of the provisions of the Regulation into any other part of the Dist is neither expedient or practicable in the present state of the Mysore Districts.

6 From the Deputy Commr, Bangalore No. 983 dt 26/11/07

States that the Regulation is in force in the Town of Bangalore and there is no necessity for bringing any other part of the District.

From the Deputy Commr, ... 8/10/5.
dt 7/6/07.

States that the Regulation is in force in the Dist Headquarters town; that the provisions of the Regulation can be extended only to places in which there is no Police force; that it is not practicable or expedient necessary to extend the same even to all the District Headquarters towns; that the ... of the Regulation has not been felt a Consider...

Note—Contn. sheet.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Leg File No. 37 of 1907

Serial No.

[illegible] that he is therefore [illegible] in favour of extending the Regulation to any other place in the District.

From the Deputy Commr, Mysore No. 230 dd 3/10/07.

Forwarded a list of the places in which the Regulation is in force with a list showing the limits to which it may be extended.

From the Deputy Commr, Kadur No. 357 dd 17/8/07.

States that the town of Chickmagalur is the only place in which the Regulation is in force and that it is unnecessary to extend it to any other place in the District.

Ord. 4 The communications show that the Regulation is in force in the following places:—

1. The town of Chitaldrug.
2. The town of Shimoga.
3. The city of Bangalore.
4. The town of Hassan.
5. " " Tumkur.
6. " " Hassan.
7. " " Chickmagalur.
8. City of Mysore and 17 villages in the Malvalli Taluk and 6 villages in the

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB—10,006—18-1-07

Serial No.

Mangalore Taluk

9. The Town of Kolar.

Besides it seems to be also in force in the Taluk Head quarters of 1. Chickballapur, 2. Chintamani 3. Bowringpet and in the Kolar Gold Fields. (Vide Letter marked by flag 9).

5 While the Deputy Commissioners of Kolar, Tumkur, Hassan and Kadur think its further extension to any other places in their Districts is unnecessary, the Deputy Commissioner of Shimoga recommends its extension to the town of Kumsi that of Sagar to the Taluk and Sub Taluk Kasbas in the District, with the exception of provisions of paras 2 & 6 of sec 6 authorising magistrates to send wounded & ailing animals to infirmaries for treatment, for the reason that no such facilities exist at present for the purpose in any of the two places. The Deputy Commissioners of Chitaldrug and Mysore forwards a list of the places in their Districts to which the provisions of the Regulation may be extended.

6 The Deputy Commissioner of Hassan seems to contend that the Regulation cannot be introduced anywhere to local areas where

NOTE—Contra sheet

GPB—10,000—18-1-07

Serial No.

there is a resident Magistrate (vide Sec (1), clause 2). The question what this expression means seems to have been raised in the note to file marked by flag Q, but no definite pronouncement thereon seems to be forthcoming therein. From the fact that the provisions of the Regulation were finally ordered to be introduced even into villages where no Magistrates have been residing, perhaps no there need be better of this kind of objection at present.

But it is a point for consideration whether the object which the Representative Member has in view may not be better achieved by the provisions made in Sec 55 of the Police Bill, which will soon become Law, and will apply to the whole of the State, than by the extension of the Regulation I of 1895 into particular tracts.

Submit for orders

[illegible] 14/12/06.
[illegible] 13/12/06

The provisions in the Police Bill seems to be sufficient for the prevention of cruelty to animals, and the extending of Regulation I of 1895 seems unnecessary - Anyhow

Note—Contn sheet

Serial No.

before extending the Regulation, the Deputy Comrs may perhaps be consulted again after the Bill is passed whether the provision in it is not sufficient for the ~~required~~ purpose intended.

~~Sr~~ Secy) 25.3.08. JCMS

9 The matter may be further considered after the Police bill is passed & promulgated as law.

CSB 31/3/08

(1827) 1-4-07 JCMS 1.4.08

10 The Asst Member may be informed accordingly ~~letter~~ Bill passed

11 Some orders awaited

CSB 14/4

12 Put up draft please 14.4. JCMS

Draft ~~signed~~ submitted JCMS 14/4/08

13 Issue 24.4.08 JCMS

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Police File No. 37 of 1906–07

Serial No.	
10	The Deputy Commissioner of Districts The Revenue Commissioner— No. J 3256–64 Dt 23.4.08 States that the matter may be further considered after the Police bill is passed & promulgated as Law

NOTE—Contn sheet

GPB –50,000—17-3-07

G.R.S. 267

File No. 37
Serial No. 1

Diary No. of letter replied to

No 12311-18

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Legislative)
, *the* 190

To

The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Date of issue 4.2.07

Signed by [illegible]

I have the honor to invite your attention to the summary of discussion of subject No. 16 of the ~~subjects discussed before at the last~~ Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1906 and the use of Gut sheets and to request that gut may be furnished with information as to the places in their respective districts in which Regulation No. I of 1895 is in force, together with their opinion as to the cases if any in which the provisions of the said Regulation

over.

SUBJECT.

May be brought into force
R.O

W? 31/1/07
Secretary

31/1/07

Copied-MA
Received 1.2.1907
Compared SN 2/2/07

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—10,000—20-4-06.

III 4/15 Legis

J 2052

1907

From The Deputy Commissioner Chittagong Dt

To The Secretary to the Govt of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore and Govt Reg Dept Bangalore

Dated 9th Jan 1907

Despatched 13th February 1907

Received

General No. 375
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that the Regulation for the prevention of Cruelty to animals may be extended to certain Unions & Municipalities in the Dt

No 3/5

3

Deputy Commissioner's Office,
Chitaldrug District.
Dated Camp Bilchode 9th February 1907
18

From

Mr. Ishwariah Shetty, Esquire, B.A.
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District.

To

K. S. Chandrasekharaiya Esq, B.A B.L
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Council and Revenue Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reply to your docket No: 2311-18 dated 4th February 1907, I have the honor to state that under Government order No. ~~2610~~ 2291 of 21st August 1895, the Regulation No 1 of 1895 for the prevention of cruelty to animals has been in force in the town of Chitaldrug and it may be extended to the Municipalities and Unions noted in the margin.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District

28

Municipalities

Holalkere
Nowadurga
Harihar
Davangere
Molakalmuru
Jagalur
Chellakere
Hiriur

Unions

Narkenhatti in the Chellakere Taluk

Devarmudra } in the
Rampur } Molakalmuru Taluk

Turavanur in the Chitaldrug Taluk

Malebennur in the Harihar Sub-Taluk

Bagur in the Nowadurga Taluk

Leg. III 421.3.0 V 2440

190 6-07

From M. Narain Rao ayyan
District Magistrate
Shimoga.

To K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq
B.A. B.L.
Secretary to Government
General and Revenue Dept

Dated

Despatched 19th March 1907.

Received

General No. 831
J No. 28.

Enclosure No.

With reference to docket
No. J. 2311-18 of the 4th
February last, furnishes
information therein required

No. 831
J-28.

4

District Magistrate's Court
Shimoga.
Date 19th March 07.

From,

M. Narain Rao Esquire,
District Magistrate,
Shimoga.

To, K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq
B.A. B.L.
Secretary to Government
General & Revenue Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. J. 2311-18 of the 4th February last, I have the honour to state that Regulation No. I of 1895 for the prevention of Cruelty to Animals is in force in the District Head Quarters town of Shimoga. It may be extended to the town of Kumsi in the Kumsi Sub Taluk, as several carts laden with Manganese are now ply. between Kumsi and Shimoga.

I have the honourable,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
M. Narain Rao
District Magistrate
Shimoga.

Fwd

III 477.3 J 2463

1906.7

From

The Dist. magistrate
Bampatara dist.

To

The Secy. to govt. of India
Gen. dept. Delhi

Dated 25.

Despatched March 1907.

Received.

General No.

No. 716

Enclosure No.

Ref. No. J 2311-18 of 4.2.07

Furnishing information as to the places in which Regn. No. I of 1895 is in force together with his opinion.

5

S. 716

Bangalore district
Dist. Magistrate's Office
25 March 1907.

From

H. H. Sharkey Esquire
Dist. Magistrate
Bangalore city.

To R. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq. B.A. B.L
Dept. to the Govt. of Mysore
Gen. revn. Dept.

With reference to your docket
No. J 2311-18 of 4 February 1907,
inviting attention to the general
subject No. 16 discussed at the Mysore
Representative Assembly of 1906
for extending to the Mofussil towns,
Regulation No. 1 of 1895, a Regulation
for the Prevention of Cruelty to
Animals, I have the honor to state
that in this district at present,
the Regulation is in force only in
the city of Bangalore

2. The class of person
commit an Nuisance a
Reputation is generally
of Jatkas or single Bu
Ran always for hire. A
and other traffic by m
vehicles now exist in
and Sub Taluk Head Quarters in
this district, I would recommend
the introduction to all Taluk
and Sub Taluk Kachcheris in this
district, of the measure with
the exception of paras 2 to 6 of
Section 6 which authorise mag
trates to send diseased
wounded or animals to
infirmaries for treatment, as no
such facilities now exist in

7

Attestations.

I have the honor &c.

Sir,

Your most obedient

Stt Sparks

Dist. Marshals.

III 4 23.4.07 Legal 190 Y.

J 2666

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To
The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore.
Genl & Rev: Dept

Dated

Despatched 20th April 1907.

Received

General No. 3539
No. 159

Enclosure No.

Furnish, - for our
on D.R.A. Subject
No. 16 of 1906 —.
re extension of the
provisions of Reg. I of 1895 to Kolar
in this District

8

20th April 07.

From.

C. Srikanteswara Aiyar Esqre. B.A. B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner - Kolar District

To.

K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esqre. B.A. B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Genl & Rev: Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. 2311-18 dated 4th February '07. inviting attention to the Summary of discussion of subject No. 16 of the Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1906 and the reply of Government thereto, and requesting to be furnished with the information as to the places in this District in which the Regulation No. I of 1895 is in force together with my opinion as to the places if any in which the provisions of the Regulation may be brought into force, I have the honor to state that though the

provisions of the said Regulation of 1896 are in force in District Head Quarters, no prosecutions under any of the sections of the Regulation appear to have been launched in the Courts of this District nor is there any earnest desire on the part of people for the extension of the provisions thereof to any other places.

2. Our ryots are, as a rule kind to their dumb animals and are generally alive to the sense of their duty towards them. The extension of the provisions of all or any of the sections of the Regulation is neither desirable nor expedient in the present State

(0)

State of Moffusil Society.

I have the honor &c,
Sir
Your most ~~obedient~~ Servant,

L. Mansfield
~~for~~ Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

T 2700

1906.07

From The Distt. Magte.
Tumkur District.

To The Secy to the
Govt. of Mysore
Genl & Rev Deptt

Dated 24 th
Despatched 25 th } April 1907
Received

Genoral No.

No. 883

Enclosure No.

His docket no Y.
2311-18 dated 4th Febry/

Stating that Regn
No I of 1905 (Prevention
of Cruelty to Animals)
is in force only in
the town of Tumkur
and no need to Extend
it to any other places
in this District

Office of the District Magistrate
Tumkur District.
Dated, Tumkur, 24th April 1907.

From
L. Dana Swami Iyer Esqr
District Magistrate
Tumkur District.

To
L.S. Chendrasekhara Iyer Esqr
B.A. B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
H.H. the Maha Rajah of Mysore,
Genl and Rev. Deptts.
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No: J 2311-18 dated 4th February 1907 inviting attention to the summary of discussion of Subject No: 16 of Representative Assembly of 1906 and the reply of Government thereto, I have the honor to state that Regulation No I of 1895 (Prevention of Cruelty to Animals) is in force only in the town of Tumkur as per Government Notification No: J 2624-32/894 dated 29th August 1895. There appears to be no

need to extend to any other
places in this District.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

M. Sreenivasulu

Asst District Magistrate.

J 3055

1906-07

From K. V. Srinivasa Iyengar Esqr. M.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To K. S. Chendrasekhara Iyer Esqr. B.A., B.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore. Gen. & Rev. Department
Bangalore

Dated 7th June 1907

Despatched 8th June 1907

Received

General No. 810
No. S

Enclosure No. Nil

Subject.

Submitting opinion on Dasara Representative Assembly Subject No. 16 of 1906. Re. as to the necessity of introducing in the Taluk Head Quarters the regulation for the prevention of cruelty to animals

Office of the Deputy Commissioner.
Hassan District
Dated Hassan 7th June 1907

From K.R. Srinivasa Iyengar Esqr. M.A.
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To K.S. Chendrasekhara Iyer Esqr. B.A.B.L.
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your docket no. J 2311-18 dated 4th February 1907 calling for an Expression of opinion on Subject no. 16 of Dasara Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1906 regarding the places in which the provisions of Regulation I of 1895 (A Regulation for the prevention of cruelty to animals) is already in force in the District and places into which it may be introduced, I have the honour to State that the only place in this District in which the Regulation is in force is the Headquarter town of Hassan wherein

wherein it was introduced as per Govern-
ment Proceedings no. 2624-32 dated 20th
+J294
H.W. August 1895.

2. Clause 2 of Section I of the Regulation
lays down that the whole or any part of
the Regulation may be extended to such
other local areas in which there is a re-
sident Magistrate. The wording of the
above clause seems to imply that the Re-
gulation is intended to be introduced only
into large towns in which there is a resident
City or other Magistrate, and not all over
the Province including villages where there
are no Magistrates, and where cattle cannot
be promptly taken before a Magistrate for
purposes of an enquiry under the Regulation.
I am of opinion that it is not desirable or
necessary to extend the provisions of the Re-
-gulations at present even to all the Taluk
Head Quarters, as cases falling under the
Regulation are likely to be very rare oc-
-currence in the Talukas, and when they do
occur, the local influence of the Taluk of-
ficers will be sufficient to prevent their
recurrence.

recurrence. It has not come to notice that the non-introduction of the Regulation in any of the Taluks has been considered or felt as a pressing want, and unless the necessity for the Regulation in any locality is particularly felt and urged, I am not in favour of its introduction into any new place.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

W. Wrenn Cox
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

GSR

T 1015.

1907-08.

From
M. Kenta Raj Iyer, Esq., BA
Dy. Commissioner,
Mysore District.

To
C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., BA. BL
Secy. to the Govt. of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 9th
Despatched to } October 1907
Received

General No. 230.
No.

Enclosure No. 2

Subject.

Submitting the
write ups of villages
where the Regulation
No. I of 1894 is in force
and to what places
it may be extended

—

16

No.
Enc. No.

OFFICE OF THE Dy Commissioner
MYSORE DISTRICT,
Dated Mysore Camp 9th October 1907.

To
S Balasundararaj Ur Esq., B.A.,
Offg SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT
OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to your docket No. J 2311-18 dated the 4th February 1907 calling for information as to the places in which Regulation No I of 1895 (Prevention of cruelty to animals) is in force and to which places it may be extended, I have the honor to state that the provisions of the said Regulation may be brought into force in all the Municipal towns and Villages and such other villages as are specially recommended by the Amildars of the Taluks to which they belong where it is considered desirable in the interests of the poor dumb animals. Two lists are herewith submitted, one shewing the places where the provisions

of the said Regulation is already in force and the other giving the names of places to which they may be introduced. I request that sanction may be accorded to the latter.

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Edmund Rainey

Judge Commissioner

Mysore Districts

List showing the places where Regulation VII of 1895 (Prevention of Cruelty to animals) may be introduced in the Mysore District

No.	Names of Places	Remarks
	A. - Municipalities	
1	Yedatore	Yedatore Taluk
2	Saligram	
3	Hunsur	Hunsur Taluk
4	Periapatam	
5	Heggadevankote	
6	Gundlupet	
7	Chamarajanagar	
8	Nanjangud	
9	T. Narasipur	T Narasipur Taluk
10	Talkad	
11	Bannur	
12	Seringapatam	
13	Krishnarajpet	Krishnarajpet Sub Taluk
14	Melkote	
15	Krishnarajapet	
16	Nagamangla	
	B. Unions	
17	Nagamangla	

18 Ramanahalli

19 Hebbalu

20 Yelwal

21 Anandur

22 Dura

23 Donagalli

24 Ayanahalli

25 Haroohalli

26 Vajramangala

27 Kiranur

28 Nelamane

29 Kennal

30 Kiragaval

31 Kallenkuni

32 Ragi Bommana halli

33 Halagiru

34 Kadakolalla halli

35 Annuri

36 Bhemana beedu

37 Raghunapura

38 Agraharagondanahalli

39 Kokkare

40 Sadanadi

41 Sonnahalli
42 Jerukanambi
43 Padagura
44 Kelsura
45 Kaggala
46 Kadasage
47 Hangala
48 Beeranbadi
49 Arkanhalli
50 Bachahalli
51 Bamlapura

52 Heregadu
53 Honnalgere
54 Budamuru
55 Besagarhalli
56 Konsole
57 Chamanahalli
58 Kalagarahalli
59 Basaralu
60 Kadaluru

61 Saragur
62 Hunsana Bela
63 Mullur.

64 Kikkeri
65 Hoovahalali
66 Akkihebbalu
67 Santhebachahalli
68 Suidaghatta
69 Kammambadi
70 Bookanakere

71 Harave
72 Hiriyala
73 Kothalvadi
74 Malegaru
75 Hangamaru
76 Gilipura
77 Kudéru
78 Jotigowdanpura
79 Kagalvadi
80 Saragaru
81 Nagamalli
82 Diddépura
83 Aluru
84 Ramasamudra
85 Kadalaru
86 Badanagupe
87 Kallamballi
88 Haradanahally
89 Anachavadi -

90 Kalale
91 Chunnadagudihundi
92 Badanavalu
93 Sidhuvalli
94 Bokkanalli
95 Rampura
96 Hadinaru
97 Hedatale
98 Halépura
99 Néralé
100 Devanuru
101 Jagadurru
102 Hagunavalu
103 Hulla halli
104 Hallare
105 Karga
106 Dasanuru
107 Jagiuru
108 Bilagalu
109 Suthuru.
110 Sosale
111 Hosalgodu
112 Nelasoge
113 Kirgasur

114 Vatalu

115 Karuchatti

116 Gargeswari

117 Rangasamudra

118 Hammanahalu

119 Hullahalladi

120 Chikkanahalli

121 Allahalli

122 Holasahalli

123 Hanagodaru

124 Halahalli

125 Kyathanahalli

126 Arakere including Dakhale
(1) Chikkegowdanakoppal
(2) Hanjakoppal
(3) Bolegowdanakoppal.

127 Somanahalli including Dakhale
(1) Hurinoiganahalli
(2) Kurubagowdanakoppal
(3) Kalakere (4) Kari Hallakoppal
(5) Devaraguddanakoppal
(6) Yerakkikoppal

128 Balagola

129 Nagarahalli

130 Kurubarasekikalli

131 Bilikere

132 Belladapura

133 Kampalapura

134 Kallenalavadi.

Udatoore Taluk.

135 Mirle

136 Chandagal

137 Dippuru

138 Byadarahalli

139 Hebbalu

140 Hesthuru

141 Kuppe

142 Hansoge

143 Sigeralu

144 Baleuru

145 Mudduru

146 Ankanahalli

147 Melluru

148 Bheryu

149 Hampapura

150 Manchénahalli

151 Galigellere

Nagamangala Taluk

152 Belloor

& other villages recommen

153 Santémaranahalli

154 Mirle

155 Chagamahalli
156 Ganamahalli

157 Hedamalur
158 Sundahalli
159 Yaliapura
160 Yalichakanahalli
161 Hyahengere
162 Hokkati
163 Geijjalagere
164 Nidaghatta
165 Somanahalli
166 Hemmanahalli
167 Hestura
168 Dundanahalli
169 Gopalapura
170 Bilidagalu
171 Halagere
172 Sakamura
173 Hoppa
174 Hanakere
175 Holalu
176 Hosuru

177 Hadakepura
178 Boppagowdanpura
179 Purigali

180	Chikkabbaga
181	Kadli
182	Hulla halli
183	Kumanapura
184	Dhanagur
185	Nittur
186	Kunjapatna
187	Kormanaikana halli

A. Municipalities	16
B. Unions	136
C. Other villages recommended by Auditors	35
	187

Commissioner's office
Mysore Division
to Mysore 9th Oct. 07

Edmund Rainey
for Deputy Commissioner
Mysore Division

List showing the places where Regulation No 7 of 1895 (Prevention of Cruelty to animals) is already in force in the Mysore District.

No.	Names of places	Remarks.
	Mysore City.	
2	Chetrada Hosahalli	Vide Government Notification No 2656/2 dated 8th June 1904.
3	Chik Aravinakere	
4	Dodda Aravinakere	
5	Mella halli	
6	Gudikere	
7	Nagara kala Muddanadoddi	
8	Manigere	
9	Nelamakanahalli	
10	Nagara Bevaga Hallahalli	
11	Malamalli	
12	Manchalli	
13	Sarvamanya Devalingana pura	
14	Bachanahalli	
15	Pandithahalli	
16	Daodanadoddi	
17	Hosahalli	
18	Sarvamanya Belakavad Nadaru Dinkshitha Ru	
19	Mandya	
20	Srirangapura	
21	Maddur Town	
22	Goravanahalli	

23 Kuderagunde 08

24 Bora pura

Dy Commissioners office
Elysee Dy II
Dated Elysee 9th Oct 07

H Amadhenyn
p Deputy Commissioner
Elysee Dybuk

Regn III 4/3/08 3

J2392

1907-08.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

To The Secretary to the Govt
of Mysore, General and
Dated 12th Revenue Departments

Despatched 14th March 1908.

Received

General No.
No. 397

Enclosure No. —

Stating that Chikmagalur Town is the only place in the Kadur District, in which Regulation No I of 1895 is in force together with opinion as to extending the provisions of the said Regulation to other places.

From

K. R. Srinivasa Iyengar Esq., M.A.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Offg: Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated, Chikmagalur, the 12th March 1908.

Sir,

With reference to your docket no: 2311-18 dated 4th February 1907 calling for information as to the places in this District in which Regulation no. I of 1895 is in force together with my opinion as to extending the provisions of the said Regulation to other places, I have the honor to state that Chikmagalur town is the only place in which the Regulation is in force at present in this District. As the localities outside Chikmagalur town are mostly agricultural

with

with cattle as the mainstay, it is unnecessary to extend the Regulation; besides it is impracticable to extend the Regulation to places where there are no resident Magistrates as contemplated in Cl. (2) of Section 1 of the Regulation.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

A Thillainadarajah

for Deputy Commissioner,

Kadur District

31

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 36 of 07
Serial No. 10

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Legislation)

, *the* 190

Date of issue .....

Signed by Dr K Nagaraj Rao

READ—

Subject No. 16 of the Representative Assembly Proceedings of 1906, praying that the Act prohibiting the cruel treatment of animals may be brought into force throughout the whole of the State.

~~ORDER THEREON.—No.~~

2. The reply of government thereto to the effect that the Regulation for the Prevention of cruelty to animals, I of 1875, is in force in district headquarter towns and certain other places and that it is

over

SUBJECT

GPB—7,000—1-3-07

further extension will be considered.

3. This office Circular letter No. J 2311-18 dated the 14th Feb 07 to the Deputy Commissioners of all Districts requesting them to furnish with full information as to the places in their district in which the Regulation is in force and the places to which it might be extended.

4. The following replies from the Deputy Commissioners:—

(i) Letter No. 375 dated the 9th February 1907. from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldroog stating that the Regulation has been in force in the town of Chitaldroog and furnishing a list of the municipalities and towns to which it may be extended.

(ii) Letter No. 831/J.28. dated the 9th March 07 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga, stating that the Regulation is in force in the

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

town of Shimoga and that it may be extended to the town of Kumsi.

(iii) Letter No. S.716. dated the 25th March 1907 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore, stating that the Regulation is in force in the City of Bangalore, and that its provisions, except those contained in para 2 to 6 of Section 6, authorizing Magistrates to send ~~wounded~~ animals without for labour and care to infirmaries for treatment, may be extended to the Taluk and Sub-Taluk kasbas in the District.

(iv) Letter No. 3535/385 dated the 20th April 1907 from the Deputy Commr, Kolar, stating that the provisions of the Regulation are in force in the District Head Quarter town, and that the extension of all or any of the provisions of the Regulation into any other part of the District is neither desirable nor ~~desirable~~ expedient in the present state of ~~the~~ Mofussil affairs.

that ryots, as a rule, are kind to their dumb animals;

(v) Letter No. 883 of the 21st Jan

MUCPB—30,000—5-4-05.

from the Deputy Commr, Dumka,
stating that the Regulation
is in force in the Town of Dumka
and there is no necessity for
extending it to any other part
of the District.

(Vi). Letter No. 870/S dated the
4th June 1907 from the Deputy
Commissioner, Hazaribagh, stating
that the Regulation is in force
in the District head quarters
town; that the provisions of
the Regulation can, in his opinion,
be extended only to places
in which there is a resident
Magistrate; that it is neither
desirable nor necessary to
extend the same even to all
the Sadar head quarter towns;
that the Non-interference of
the Regulation has not been felt
or considered as a pressing want
and that he is therefore not
in favor of extending the
Regulation to any other place in
the District.

(Vii). Letter No. 230 dated the
9th October 1907 from the Deputy
Commr. Mysore forwarding a
list of the places in the District
in which the
Regulation is in force and the
places to which it may be extended

(Vii

35

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation Sheet draft form.)

(Viii). Letter No. 257 dated the 12th March 1908 from the Deputy Commissioner, Kaira, stating that the town of Nadiad is the only place in which the Regulation is in force, and that it is unnecessary to extend it to any other place in the District.

J 3256-61
Legis: 31-06-10 29-4-08

Order thereon:-

On a careful Consideration, of the views expressed in the above, Govt. take of opinion consider that the object which the representative Member has in view is likely to be achieved by the provisions made in Clause 55 of the Police Bill, as amended by the Select Committee appointed for the Consideration thereof, which will soon become law and apply to the whole of the State, than by the extension of the Regulation I of 1865 into particular Tracts. They therefore direct that this question do lie over for the present and be considered after the Police Bill is passed and promulgated

To Clerk. 36

DWW

The Secretary

W 24/4/08

re The Deputy Commissioner of Districts
in Civil Court in Mysore.

Civil
W.K
R.L 28/4 Sec W 29/4/08